(c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House to the extent possible.

Administrative Arrangements for Rural Development

3069. SHRIMATl JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Planning Commission had set up in March 1985, (under the Chairmanship of Dr. G.V. K. Rao) a Committee, to review the existing administrative arrangements for rural development and poverty alleviation programmes;

(b) whether the Committee has submitted its Report in December, 1985 and if BO, what were the main recommendations;

(c) whether any of the recommendations have been implemented; and

(d) if not, what are the reasons for the delay?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PRO GRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRl SUKH RAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir. Statement showing summary of recommendations con tained in the G. V. K. Rao Committee Report is attached *(See* below).

(c) No, Sir,

(d) Comments from 28 State Governments/Union Territory Administrations have been received so far. The matter has to be placed before the National Development Council for consideration before final decisions are taken.

Statement

Summary of Recommendations

1. The Committee feels that the time has come to take a total view of Rural Development. It has to encompass all economic and social development activities handled by different agencies at the field level. It is not advisable any longer to limit anti-poverty programme to a few specific schemes.

2. Past experience clearly indicates that government machinery (Bureaucracy) alone cannot be assigned the responsibility for achieving economic development and social justice,

3. While the objectives of removal of poverty, as laid down in the Seventh Plan, must be adhered to, local initiative must be encouraged and the detailed strategy worked out by local people. It is, therefore, essential to involve the people and their representatives effectively in drawing up programmes of rural development and their implementation.

4. Panchayati Raj institutions have to be activised and given all the support needed so that they can become effective organisations for handing people's problems. Elections to these bodies should be held regularly.

5. It is also necessary to encourage voluntary agencies, with informed idealism, operating in rural areas, in every possible way.

6. The district should be the basic unit for policy planning and pro-gramme implementation. The Zila Parishad should, therefore, become the principal body for management of all development programmes which can be handled at the level.

7. The President of the Zila Parishad can be directly elected for a

term co-terminus with the Zila Parishad, or for one year each on the Mayoral pattern. The work of the Zila Parishad should be done by a number of Sub-Committees, elected on the basis of the proportional representation so that participatory democracy could be developed and encouraged.

8. Panchayati Raj institutions at the district level and below should be assigned Important role in respect of planning, implementation and monitoring of rural development programmes.

9. Some of the planning functions at the state level may have to be transferred to the district level for effective decentralised district planning.

10. In this connection, the Com mittee recommends the introduction of the concept of district budget. It is desirable that it is brought into being as quickly as possible.

11. The concept of a properly pre pared district plan is reiterated. The preparation of a proper plan is a pre-requistfe for having a process of development which will ensure that the poor are properly taken care of. All the development departments should clearly indicate the activities which they would undertake for as sisting the poor.

12. The district plan should in clude all the resources available both in the plan and nori-plan as well as institutional resources.

13. It is necessary for the banking institutions including the cooperatives to ensure that the credit require ments of the rural poor are adequa tely met, such facilities should also cover the legitimate consumption credit requirements of the poor.

14. The process of economic deve lopment itself should be designed so as to reduce poverty. The imple- mentation of land reforms has to be done with greater vigour *so* as to ultimately ensure that the land goes to the tiller.

15. Since rural development encompasses the activities of a num ber of departments at the state level, there is an urgent need for effective coordination and proper direction at this level.

16. The Committee recommends that a very Senior Officer of the rank of Chief Secretary designated as Development Commissioner be in-charge of development administration at the state level.

17. The major Rural development Departments like Rural Development, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Cooperation, Industries etc should be directly under his purview. The Secretaries of these Departments will work directly under him.

18. The Committee is of the view that development administration at the district level has to be treated as a major activity involving significant responsibilities.

19. The Committee, therefore, re- commends that a post of District Development Commissioner (DDC) be created to look after and coordinate all the developmental activities in the district.

20. The DDC may be made the Chief Executive of the Zila Parishad in those states where the Panchayati Raj institutions hold the responsibi lity for planning and implementation of various development programmes.

21 In those States where Zila Parishads are not in position, the DDC could function as Chairman and Chief Executive of the District Development Council

22. The office of the DDC should be of a higher status than that of the District Collector in order to

establish the primacy of the Development administration over maintenance administration.

23. The existing districts set up with weak planning machinery, multiplicity of agencies and lack of effective coordination needs revamping.

24. Along with the establishment of the office of the DDC, significant restructuring of planning and implementation machinery at the district level should also be effected.

25. The proliferation of development agencies and departmentalisation and fragmentation of functions should cease.

26. The most important constituent of the district development office will be the district Planning Team, the District Rural Development Team and the District Finance and Accounts Officer who will be incharge of the district budget a

27. The District Level Offlcers of the various functional/line departments will continue to handle the work relating to their respective areas. However, the schemes and programmes being implemented by them should form an integral part of the District Development Plan.

28. The major operational machinery for implementation of district rural development plan will be the block level set up. For this purpose revamping of the block machinery in essential.

29. The Committee recommends that the Block Development Office should be the sheet-anchor of the entire rural development process For this purpose the status of this office should be upgraded. The Chief Executive Officer of the block/Tehsil may be designated as Assistant Development Commissioner (ADC). The ADC should be an officer of the statry of Sub-Divisional Officer.

SO. The ADC should be a dynamic young person, preferably below the age of 35 and in any case not above 40. His background, training, managerial capability and motivation should be appropriate for the task as the leader of a team which will be incharge of all development functions in the block.

31. There is an urgent need for rationalisation reorganisation of blocks to ensure that they become viable units for the task assigned to them.

32. On the basis of certain criteria of population, area and terrain, ave rage size of the block may be one lakh population in the plains and 50,000 population in the hilly and difficult terrain and tribal areas.

S3. On the above basis there could be about 6,000 CD blocks.

34. There is laso an urgent need for rationalising the deployment of functionaries at the district level and below.

35. Considering the task to be assigned to the various levels -of administrative set up at the district level and below the State Governments may have to work out the staff requirements.

36. In some cases there may not be significant augmentation of the strength; the requirements will be met by redeployment of the staff after necessary reorientation/training.

37. Wherever additional staff requirements are involved, the State Governments may send the proposals, to the Centre.

38. A Committee of SPTetaries of the Departments of Planning, Expenditure, Agriculture and Rural Development will examine and approve the additional requirement; on the basis of certain norms.

39. Two-thirds of the cost of additional staff should be borne by the Centre during the Seventh Five Year Plan.

40. A refresher/orientation training may be organised for the different functionaries engaged in Rural Development Programme. For this purpose, additional training facilities be created wherever necessary and the full cost hereof may be borne by the Centre.

3070. *[Transferred to the* 28 th August, 1987].

3071. *[Transferred to the* 24th *August,* 1987].

Implementation of JCM Award in DRDO

SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will linister of DEFENCE be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1506 given in the Rajya on 7th August, 1986 and state:

i) whether Government have taken a final decision regard-implementation of the arbitra-award (JCM) on Senior Scien-Assistants in the DRDO, Ministry of Defence;

i) if so, what are the details thereof; if not, what are the reasons for delay; and

(c) by when a final decision in the matter is likely to be taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL): (a) to (c) The matter is still under consideration of the Government and a decision is expected to be taken shortly.

Bank overdraft amounts against Army Officers in Jammu and Kashmir

3073. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 3185 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 4th December, 1986 and state:

(a) whether the overdraft amounts to bank branches in Jammu and Kashmir against the army officers have been liquidated; and

(b) if so, what is the outstanding amount against each of the officers which has been liquidated and the reascng for the non-recovery of the remaining amount?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL): (a) AH army Officers and other ranks posted in" Jammu and Kashmir have since liquidated and overdraft amounts due to the public sector banks. Those posted out of Jammu & Kashmir have been instructed to clear their dues immediately.

(b) It will not be in the public interest to divulge the particulars of individual officers and the amounts of overdraft cleared by them/outstanding against them.

Court cases against Cantonment Boards and Directorate of Defence Estates in U.P.

3074. SHRI SHARAD YADAV: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of court cases involving cantonment Boards in UP and Directorate of Defence Estates. Central Command, Lucknow, which are pending in District Courts High Court and the Supreme Court: